

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 922-27-097 10/611,475 06/30/2003 Michael D. Holtsnider 1589 EXAMINER 7590 12/03/2004 FETSUGA, ROBERT M Robert T. Conley KOPPEL, JACOBS, PATRICK & HEYBL ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Suite 107 555 St. Charles Drive 3751 Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/611,475	HOLTSNIDER	ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert M. Fetsuga	3751	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover she	eet with the correspondence	address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, r y within the statutory minimum vill apply and will expire SIX (6 , cause the application to bec	may a reply be timely filed  n of thirty (30) days will be considered tin 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of thi ome ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	mely. is communication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	action is non-final.		•
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	•	•	the ments is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	wn from consideratio		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and all accomposed and all accomposed and accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed accomposed and accomposed	epted or b) objected or by objected or by objected drawing(s) be held in a cition is required if the drawing of the drawing or by objected in the drawing or	beyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) awing(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received s have been received rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	d. d in Application No been received in this Nation .	nal Stage
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date ce of Informal Patent Application (F	PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/611,475

Art Unit: 3751

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16, drawn to a hydrotherapy jet, classified in class 4, subclass 541.6.
- II. Claims 17 and 18, drawn to a cap and discharge member, classified in class 239, subclass 383.
- III. Claims 19-21, drawn to a method of providing

  hydrotherapy, classified in class 601, subclass 160.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the structure recited in claim 17 is not relied upon for patentability in claims 1 and 13. The subcombination has separate utility such as with a hand held device.

\_ Inventions I/II and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using

Application/Control Number: 10/611,475

Art Unit: 3751

the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method does not require the particular structure recited in claims 1, 13 and 17.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I: Figs. 1-9; and

Species II: Figs. 10-11.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, at least claim 19 is considered to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which

Art Unit: 3751

are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. Upon an election of Species I as defined above, a further election of one of the following sub-species is required consonant with the rules set forth supra:

Sub-species A: Fig. 2 (element 10); and

Sub-species B: Fig. 4.

Art Unit: 3751

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Robert M. Fetsuga at telephone number 571/272-4886 who can be most easily reached Monday through Thursday.

Robert M. Fetsuga Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3751